

United States Patent and Trademark Office

en

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,394	03/09/2004	Takuya Tsukagoshi	890050.468	
500	7590 10/25/2006		EXAMINER	
	LLECTUAL PROPE	LAVARIAS	LAVARIAS, ARNEL C	
701 FIFTH A SUITE 5400	VE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE,	WA 98104		2872	

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astron.		10/796,394	TSUKAGOSHI, T	TAKUYA				
	Office Action Summary	Ī	Examiner	Art Unit				
			Arnel C. Lavarias	2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIORS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comming period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMMUN (a). In no event, however, may a I apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>9/11/0</i>	6.7/10/06.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims .							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	٠						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object		* * *	, ,				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	miner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of		•	n received in this National	l Stage			
* 0	application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action			t received				
		ii ioi a list ol	the certified copies no	r received.				
Attachment	(s)							
1) 🔯 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/796,394

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/11/06 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendments to Claim 1 in the submission dated 9/11/06 are acknowledged and accepted.

Response to Arguments

- 3. The Applicant's arguments filed 9/11/06 have been fully considered but they are not found fully persuasive.
- 4. The Applicant argues that, with respect to newly amended Claim 1, as well as Claim 2-3 which depend on Claim 1, the combined teachings of Chou et al., Curtis et al., and Bernal et al. fail to teach or reasonably suggest the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remaining unchanged. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As previously discussed in the Advisory Action dated

Application/Control Number: 10/796,394 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

7/24/06, Curtis et al. provides the conventional teaching that the focal point of a Fourier transform lens may be repositioned away from the recording medium, such that the focal point may be located either between the Fourier transform lens and the recording medium or between the recording medium and the inverse Fourier transform lens. The Examiner further notes that, in each of Curtis et al., Chou et al., and Bernal et al, the lenses; including both the Fourier transform lens and the inverse Fourier transform lens as well as the power optic (See Figures 6-7, 10-11, 13-14 of Curtis et al.; Figure 1 of Chou et al.; Figure 1 of Bernal et al.), *do not move* during the recording and reproduction of information, and thus the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remain unchanged during the recording and reproduction of the information.

- 5. After reviewing the English translation of the foreign priority document JP2003-070664 submitted 7/10/06, the Examiner respectfully withdraws the rejections in Sections 9-10 of the Office Action dated 4/10/06.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are now rejected as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al. (W. Chou, M. A. Neifeld, 'Interleaving and error correction in volume holographic memory systems', Appl. Opt., vol. 37, no. 29, October 10, 1998, pp. 6951-6968.), of record, in view of Curtis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6163391), of record, and Bernal et al. (M. P. Bernal, G. W. Burr, H. Coufal, M. Quintanilla, 'Noise in high-areal-density holographic data storage systems', Opt. Soc. America, Washington, D.C., USA, May 1998, pp. 21-22.), of record.

Chou et al. discloses a holographic recording and reproducing apparatus (See for example Figure 1; Section 2A) for recording data as phase information of light in a holographic recording medium (See 'memory' in Figure 1) by projecting a signal beam and a reference beam thereonto, the holographic recording and reproducing apparatus comprising at least a spatial light modulator (See 'SLM' in Figure 1), a Fourier transform lens (See 'lens 1' in Figure 1), a reverse Fourier transform lens (See 'lens 2' in Figure 1), and a CCD image sensor (See 'CCD' in Figure 1), the holographic recording medium being disposed between the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, the focal length of the Fourier transform lens is set to be different (e.g. longer) than that of the reverse Fourier transform lens (See Sections 4C, 4D); and the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remaining unchanged (It is noted that the Fourier and inverse Fourier transform lenses of Chou et al. do not move during holographic recording and reproduction of information). Chou et al. lacks a pinhole disposed at a confocal point of the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, such that the pinhole is disposed either between the

holographic recording medium and the Fourier transform lens or between the holographic recording medium and the reverse Fourier transform lens. However, Curtis et al. teaches a conventional method and apparatus for holographic data storage (See for example Figures 1, 15), wherein the holographic recording medium (See for example 30 in Figure 1; 520 in Figure 15) may be located away from the focal point of the incident Fourier transform lens (See for example Figures 6-7, 10-11, 13-14). This repositioning of the focal point of the Fourier transform lens may be performed by positioning the recording medium away from the focal point of the Fourier transform lens (See for example Figure 13) or by utilizing additional powered lenses (See for example 390/395 in Figure 10, 405 in Figure 11) in conjunction with the Fourier transform lens to adjust the convergence or divergence of the incident light beam (See col. 10, line 1-col. 12, line 29). Further, the lenses, including both the Fourier transform lens and the inverse Fourier transform lens as well as the power optic (See Figures 6-7, 10-11, 13-14) do not move during the recording and reproduction of information, and thus the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remain unchanged during the recording and reproduction of the information. In addition, Bernal et al. teaches a digital holographic storage system utilizing a 4F lens design (See Figure 1), wherein an aperture is placed at the Fourier plane of the 4F system (it is noted that this Fourier plane occurs at the confocal point of the Fourier (See L₁ in Figure 1) and reverse Fourier (See L₂ in Figure 1) lenses at point 'D'). Also, the Fourier and inverse Fourier transform lenses (See L₁, L₂ in Figure 1) of Bernal et al. do not move during holographic recording and reproduction of information. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the apparatus of Chou et al. further comprise a pinhole disposed at a confocal point of the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, such that the pinhole is disposed either between the holographic recording medium and the Fourier transform lens or between the holographic recording medium and the reverse Fourier transform lens, as taught by Curtis et al. and Bernal et al., for the purpose of 1) minimizing the sensitivity of the holographic recording medium to shrinkage due to curing or temperature changes and 2) minimizing crosstalk noise.

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al. in view of Curtis et al. and Bernal et al.

Chou et al. in view of Curtis et al. and Bernal et al. discloses the invention as set forth above, except for the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens being set longer than that of the Fourier transform lens. However, since Chou et al. already discloses that the focal length of the Fourier transform lens may be longer than or equal to that of the reverse Fourier transform lens, one of ordinary skill would have also been likely to design a similar holographic recording and reproducing apparatus utilizing an asymmetrical 4F lens design, wherein the focal length of the Fourier transform lens is shorter than that of the reverse Fourier transform lens (i.e. the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens), particularly when there is a mismatch in pixel sizes between the SLM and the CCD. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens be set longer than that of the

Fourier transform lens in the holographic recording and reproducing apparatus of Chou et al. in view of Curtis et al. and Bernal et al., for the purpose of optimizing the light throughput of the optical system, while reducing unwanted errors due to optical noise.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al. (W. Chou, M. A. Neifeld, 'Interleaving and error correction in volume holographic memory systems', Appl. Opt., vol. 37, no. 29, October 10, 1998, pp. 6951-6968.), of record, in view of Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6301028) and Bernal et al. (M. P. Bernal, G. W. Burr, H. Coufal, M. Quintanilla, 'Noise in high-areal-density holographic data storage systems', Opt. Soc. America, Washington, D.C., USA, May 1998, pp. 21-22.), of record.

Chou et al. discloses a holographic recording and reproducing apparatus (See for example Figure 1; Section 2A) for recording data as phase information of light in a holographic recording medium (See 'memory' in Figure 1) by projecting a signal beam and a reference beam thereonto, the holographic recording and reproducing apparatus comprising at least a spatial light modulator (See 'SLM' in Figure 1), a Fourier transform lens (See 'lens 1' in Figure 1), a reverse Fourier transform lens (See 'lens 2' in Figure 1), and a CCD image sensor (See 'CCD' in Figure 1), the holographic recording medium being disposed between the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, the focal length of the Fourier transform lens is set to be different (e.g. longer) than that of the reverse Fourier transform lens (See Sections 4C, 4D); and the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens remaining unchanged (It is noted that the Fourier and inverse Fourier transform lenses of

Chou et al. do not move during holographic recording and reproduction of information). Chou et al. lacks a pinhole disposed at a confocal point of the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, such that the pinhole is disposed either between the holographic recording medium and the Fourier transform lens or between the holographic recording medium and the reverse Fourier transform lens. However, Tanaka et al. teaches a conventional apparatus for holographic data storage (See for example Figure 9), wherein the holographic recording medium (See for example 10 in Figure 9) may be located away from the focal point of the incident Fourier transform lens (See for example 13 in Figure 9). Further, in Tanaka et al., a pinhole (See 50 in Figure 9) may be disposed at the confocal point of the Fourier transform lens and the inverse Fourier transform lens (See 21 in Figure 9), such that the pinhole as well as the focal point are disposed between the holographic recording medium and the Fourier transform lens. Further, both the Fourier transform lens and the inverse Fourier transform lens (See 13, 21 in Figure 9) do not move during the recording and reproduction of information, and thus the focal length of the Fourier transform lens and focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens remain unchanged during the recording and reproduction of the information. In addition, Bernal et al. teaches a digital holographic storage system utilizing a 4F lens design (See Figure 1), wherein an aperture is placed at the Fourier plane of the 4F system (it is noted that this Fourier plane occurs at the confocal point of the Fourier (See L₁ in Figure 1) and reverse Fourier (See L₂ in Figure 1) lenses at point 'D'). Also, the Fourier and inverse Fourier transform lenses (See L₁, L₂ in Figure 1) of Bernal et al. do not move during holographic recording and reproduction of information. Thus, it would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the apparatus of Chou et al. further comprise a pinhole disposed at a confocal point of the Fourier transform lens and the reverse Fourier transform lens, such that the pinhole is disposed either between the holographic recording medium and the Fourier transform lens or between the holographic recording medium and the reverse Fourier transform lens, as taught by Tanaka et al. and Bernal et al., for the purpose of 1) minimizing the sensitivity of the holographic recording medium to shrinkage due to curing or temperature changes, 2) minimizing crosstalk noise, and 3) maximize storage density of the holographic recording medium.

11. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou et al. in view of Tanaka et al. and Bernal et al.

Chou et al. in view of Tanaka et al. and Bernal et al. discloses the invention as set forth above, except for the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens being set longer than that of the Fourier transform lens. However, since Chou et al. already discloses that the focal length of the Fourier transform lens may be longer than or equal to that of the reverse Fourier transform lens, one of ordinary skill would have also been likely to design a similar holographic recording and reproducing apparatus utilizing an asymmetrical 4F lens design, wherein the focal length of the Fourier transform lens is shorter than that of the reverse Fourier transform lens (i.e. the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens is longer than that of the Fourier transform lens), particularly when there is a mismatch in pixel sizes between the SLM and the CCD. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

have the focal length of the reverse Fourier transform lens be set longer than that of the Fourier transform lens in the holographic recording and reproducing apparatus of Chou et al. in view of Tanaka et al. and Bernal et al., for the purpose of optimizing the light throughput of the optical system, while reducing unwanted errors due to optical noise.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 571-272-2315. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,394

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 11

Arnel C. Lavarias

Primary Examiner

Group Art Unit 2872

10/20/06